

Lecture 28: Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM)

- Well-suited to $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{distributed optimization} \\ \text{large-scale problems} \end{array} \right.$
- Precursors
 - ★ Dual ascent
 - ★ Dual decomposition
 - ★ Method of multipliers
- Design of optimal sparse feedback gains via ADMM

Lin, Fardad, Jovanović, IEEE TAC '11 (submitted; also: [arXiv:1111.6188v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.6188v1))
- Online resources
 - ★ Stephen Boyd's webpage
 - ADMM material (paper, talks, Matlab files)
 - ℓ_1 methods for convex-cardinality problems (lectures and videos)

Equality-constrained convex optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && f(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

$f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ – convex function

- Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y) = f(x) + y^T (Ax - b)$$

- dual function

$$g(y) = \inf_x \mathcal{L}(x, y)$$

- dual problem

$$\text{maximize } g(y)$$

Dual ascent

$$\text{*x*-minimization: } x^{k+1} := \arg \min_x \mathcal{L}(x, y^k)$$

$$\text{dual variable update: } y^{k+1} := y^k + s^k (A x^{k+1} - b)$$

- Features

- For properly selected $s^k \Rightarrow g(y^{k+1}) > g(y^k)$
- Requires strong assumptions
- May converge slowly
- Can lead to distributed implementation

Dual decomposition

separable form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x_n)$$

Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x, y) &= \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x_n) + y^T \left(\sum_{n=1}^N A_n x_n - b \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{L}_n(x_n, y) - y^T b \end{aligned}$$

decomposition: $\mathcal{L}_n(x_n, y) := f_n(x_n) + y^T A_n x_n$

- Can be solved in parallel

DUAL DECOMPOSITION:

$$x_n^{k+1} := \arg \min_{x_n} \mathcal{L}_n(x_n, y^k)$$

$$y^{k+1} := y^k + s^k \left(\sum_{n=1}^N A_n x_n^{k+1} - b \right)$$

- distributed optimization
 - ★ broadcast y^k
 - ★ update x_n^{k+1} in parallel
 - ★ gather $A_n x_n^{k+1}$
- well-suited to large-scale problems
 - ★ sub-problems solved iteratively in parallel
 - ★ dual variable update provides coordination

Method of multipliers

augmented Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L}_\rho(x, y) = \mathcal{L}(x, y) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$

METHOD OF MULTIPLIERS:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} &:= \arg \min_x \mathcal{L}_\rho(x, y^k) \\ y^{k+1} &:= y^k + \rho (Ax^{k+1} - b) \end{aligned}$$

compared to dual ascent:

- advantages:
 - ★ convergence under milder assumptions
 - ★ brings robustness
- disadvantage
 - ★ quadratic term: in general not separable \Rightarrow may not be solved in parallel

OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS:

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}_\rho(x^*, y^*) = \nabla_x f(x^*) + A^T y^* = 0$$

$$\nabla_y \mathcal{L}_\rho(x^*, y^*) = Ax^* - b = 0$$

- x^{k+1} minimizer of $\mathcal{L}(x, y^k)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^{k+1}, y^k) \\
 &= \nabla_x f(x^{k+1}) + A^T y^k + \rho A^T (Ax^{k+1} - b) \\
 &= \nabla_x f(x^{k+1}) + A^T (y^k + \rho(Ax^{k+1} - b)) \\
 &= \nabla_x f(x^{k+1}) + A^T y^{k+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

- dual feasibility satisfied at every iteration
- primal feasibility satisfied in the limit

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} A x^k = b$$

Alternating direction method of multipliers

- Converges under mild assumptions
 - ★ robust dual decomposition
- Facilitates decomposition
 - ★ decomposable method of multipliers
- Proposed in '70s
- Many modern applications
 - ★ distributed computing
 - ★ distributed signal processing
 - ★ image denoising
 - ★ machine learning
 - ★ statistics

- standard ADMM formulation

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} && f(x) + g(z) \\ & \text{subject to} && Ax + Bz = c \end{aligned}$$

augmented Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho(x, z, y) = f(x) + g(z) + y^T(Ax + Bz - c) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|Ax + Bz - c\|_2^2$$

ADMM:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} &:= \arg \min_x \mathcal{L}_\rho(x, z^k, y^k) \\ z^{k+1} &:= \arg \min_z \mathcal{L}_\rho(x^{k+1}, z, y^k) \\ y^{k+1} &:= y^k + \rho (Ax^{k+1} + Bz^{k+1} - c) \end{aligned}$$

Reduces to method of multipliers if minimization done jointly (over x and z)

OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS:

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}_\rho(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \nabla_x f(x^*) + A^T y^* = 0$$

$$\nabla_z \mathcal{L}_\rho(x^*, y^*, z^*) = \nabla_z g(z^*) + B^T y^* = 0$$

$$\nabla_y \mathcal{L}_\rho(x^*, y^*, z^*) = Ax^* + Bz^* - c = 0$$

- z^{k+1} minimizes $\mathcal{L}(x^{k+1}, z, y^k)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_z g(z^{k+1}) + B^T y^k + \rho B^T (Ax^{k+1} + Bz^{k+1} - c) \\ &= \nabla_z g(x^{k+1}) + B^T y^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

- second dual feasibility satisfied at every iteration
- primal and first dual feasibility satisfied asymptotically