Signatures of quantum transport through two-dimensional structures with correlated and anticorrelated interfaces
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Electronic transport through a two-dimensional decananometer length channel with correlated and anticorrelated surfaces morphologies is studied using the Keldysh nonequilibrium Green’s-function technique. Due to the pseudoperiodicity of these structures, the energy-resolved transmission possesses pseudoband and pseudogap. Channels with correlated surfaces are found to exhibit wider pseudobands than their anticorrelated counterparts. By surveying channels with various combinations of material parameters, we found that a smaller transport mass increases the channel transmittivity and energy bandwidth of the pseudobands. A larger quantization mass yields a larger transmittivity in channels with anticorrelated surfaces. For channels with correlated surfaces, the dependence of transmittivity on quantization mass is complicated by odd-to-even mode transitions. An enhanced threshold energy in the energy-resolved transmission can also be observed in the presence of surface roughness. The computed enhanced threshold energy was able to achieve agreement with the experimental data for Si(110) and Si(100) devices.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the literature, theoretical studies of the physics of surface roughness on electronic transport properties mainly focus on the linear response near thermodynamic equilibrium. In this regime, transport is diffusive and the electron dynamics is well described by a Boltzmann equation\textsuperscript{1} or Kubo formula.\textsuperscript{2} Once the perturbation Hamiltonian for surface roughness ($H_{SR}$) is formulated, the transition amplitude between electronic states can be computed through Fermi’s golden rule. Surface roughness limited mobility can then be systematically calculated. The theory on the form of $H_{SR}$ traces back to the work by Prange and Nee\textsuperscript{3} on magnetic surface states in metals. More recently, a systematic derivation of $H_{SR}$ was discussed by Ando\textsuperscript{4} in the context of electronic transport in semiconductors.

It is well understood that this perturbation Hamiltonian consists of two parts:\textsuperscript{5} (i) local energy-level fluctuations and (ii) local charge-density fluctuations. The first term (i.e., local energy-level fluctuations) is usually introduced phenomenologically\textsuperscript{6} and explains the experimental observation that the surface roughness limited electron mobility in a quantum well scales with the film thickness ($T_b$) and with the material quantization mass ($m_s$) according to $T_b^{-6}$ and $m_s^2$, respectively.\textsuperscript{7-9} The latter term (i.e., local charge-density fluctuations) is believed to be an important contribution to the degradation of electron mobility in the high inversion charge-density regime.\textsuperscript{10} Although the treatment of the surface roughness problem is usually conducted in the framework of effective-mass theory, a microscopic and self-consistent determination of $H_{SR}$ can be obtained through density-functional theory.\textsuperscript{11} Another manifestation of surface roughness in quantum wells is the enhanced threshold energy, which has recently been observed experimentally\textsuperscript{7} in quantum wells with thickness <4 nm. These experiments show that the observed threshold energy does not follow the expected inverse quadratic scaling relationship with $T_b$. An objective of this paper is to explain why this deviation from quadratic scaling occurs.

The physical effects of surface roughness on phase-coherent transport become very convoluted when the quantum well surfaces are roughened with random inhomogeneity of different scales.\textsuperscript{12} We limit our study to phase-coherent electronic transport through quantum wells with two special kinds of surface roughness morphology: (i) perfectly correlated surface roughness and (ii) perfectly anticorrelated surface roughness morphologies. Phase-coherent transport could be possible as devices are scaled into the decananometer regimes.\textsuperscript{13} In practice, one would expect a quantum well grown using the atomic layer deposition technique to produce a high degree of correlation between the two surfaces. Studies of surface roughness scattering in the diffusive regime usually ignore such surface correlation effects.\textsuperscript{5} Herein, we show that phase-coherent transport through quantum wells with perfectly correlated or perfectly anticorrelated surfaces gives rise to distinctive features in the energy-resolved transmission profile. The theoretical method we had employed is the Keldysh nonequilibrium Green’s-function (NEGF) approach\textsuperscript{14-17} within a finite element and boundary element discretization scheme.\textsuperscript{18-20}

This paper is organized as follows. Section II discusses the NEGF formalism and methodology in a finite element discretization scheme. Section III examines the energy-resolved transmission characteristics for quantum films with correlated and anticorrelated surfaces. We discuss these results in comparison to the Kronig-Penny model.\textsuperscript{21} Section IV studies the impact of quantization and transport masses on the transmission characteristics. Finally, we compare our results to experimental values of the enhanced threshold energy for Si(100) and Si(110) devices.

II. THEORY AND MODEL

The Landauer approach\textsuperscript{22,23} pictures a device within which dissipative processes are absent but coupled to perfect
thermodynamic systems known as “contacts.” This approach has been very successful in modeling physical effects in myriad of problems in the field of mesoscopic physics. When irreversible or energy dissipating processes are present in the device, a more sophisticated quantum transport model such as the NEGF is needed to account for the coupling and transitions between the different quantum states in the system. The NEGF method was first formulated by Kadanoff and Baym and Keldysh. Discussions of NEGF and its applications to condensed-matter phenomena can be found in textbooks by Datta, Haug, and Mahan.

This section summarizes the NEGF formalism applied to electronic transport through a two-dimensional (2D) channel implemented using the finite element (FEM) and boundary element (BEM) discretization scheme. Our choice of FEM over finite difference method is mainly because it can resolve the device’s roughened surface geometry more efficiently with its flexible mesh. Our methods are similar to the ones developed by Havu et al., and Polizzi and Datta.

Figure 1 illustrates 2D channels with correlated and anticorrelated surface morphologies. The problem domain is denoted by Ω, with points represented by a 2D spatial coordinate r = (x, z) ∈ Ω. Ω is then partitioned into the interior Ωi and exterior domains Ωe,j, where j = L, R, 0. Ωe,L and Ωe,R denote the left and right leads, respectively, while Ωe,o denotes the remaining space. Each exterior domain Ωe,j shares the boundary with Ωi, denoted as ∂Ωij. The boundary of Ωi is simply ∂Ωi = Σj ∂Ωij. The goal is to seek the numerical solution of the Green’s function in Ωi, denoted by G(r, r’). In our problem, the exterior domains Ωe,j consists of semi-infinite leads with known Green’s functions. Therefore, BEM can be applied to each of these exterior domain to account for its effect on the respective boundaries Ωe,j. The purpose of FEM is to formulate the differential equation within Ωi.

Within the effective-mass approximation, the 2D Hamiltonian that we are solving can be written as

$$\hat{H}\Psi(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla_r \cdot \left[ \tilde{M}(r) \nabla_r \Psi(r) \right] + V(r)\Psi(r) = e\Psi(r)$$

where \( r = (x, z) \in \Omega \) and \( \tilde{M}(r) \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) effective-mass tensor. In this work, we assumed an effective-mass tensor with the following matrix elements: \( \tilde{M}_{11} = m_x^{-1}, \tilde{M}_{12} = \tilde{M}_{21} = 0, \tilde{M}_{22} = m_z^{-1} \). \( m_x \) and \( m_z \) are the transport and quantization masses, respectively. The Green’s function of \( \hat{H} \) is defined as

$$[e + i\eta - \hat{H}]G(r, r') = \delta(r - r') : r \in \Omega,$$

where the boundary condition of outgoing waves is incorporated by the introduction of \( \eta \rightarrow 0^+ \); i.e., \( G(r, r') \) is the retarded Green’s function. \( \delta(r - r') \) is the Dirac delta function. In the FEM scheme, we have the node-wise shape functions \( \alpha_n(r) \) as our basis functions; i.e., linear basis functions are employed in this work. Using the properties of a Dirac delta function of Eq. (2), we can write \( \alpha_n \) as follows:

$$\alpha_n(r') = \int_{r \in \Omega_i} \alpha_n(r)[-V(r) + e + i\eta]G(r, r')d\Omega + \int_{r \in \Omega_j} \alpha_n(r)\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla_r \cdot \left[ \tilde{M}(r) \nabla_r G(r, r') \right]d\Omega : r' \in \Omega_i.$$

The second integral term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) contains a second-order differential integrand. It can be reduced to first order via the identity,

$$f_1 \nabla \cdot [f_2 \nabla f_3] = \nabla \cdot [f_1 f_2 \nabla f_3] - f_2 \nabla f_1 \cdot \nabla f_3.$$

Equation (3) then becomes

$$\alpha_n(r') = \int_{r \in \Omega_i} \alpha_n(r)[-V(r) + e + i\eta]G(r, r')d\Omega + \int_{r \in \Omega_j} \left[ \alpha_n(r)\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\tilde{M}(r) \nabla_r G(r, r') \right] \cdot \hat{n}d\Omega_i - \int_{r \in \Omega_j} \tilde{M}(r) \nabla_r \alpha_n(r)\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\cdot \nabla_r G(r, r')d\Omega : r' \in \Omega_i,$$

where \( \hat{n} \) in Eq. (5) is the normal vector to the boundary \( \partial \Omega_i \). We note that in order to obtain \( \nabla_r G(r, r') \) along the boundary \( \partial \Omega_i \), one requires information about the Green’s function outside and within \( \Omega_i \). Recall that the Green’s function of the exterior domain \( \Omega_e \) and \( G_{ek} \) has a simple analytical form.

For our purpose, we only need to know the explicit form of \( G_{ek} \) along the boundary \( r_{ek} \in \partial \Omega_e \),

$$G_{ek}(x_{ek}, \zeta_{ek} ; x_{ek}', \zeta_{ek}') = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi_m(\zeta_{ek})\chi_m(\zeta_{ek}') \times \frac{2\sin(o_m v_{m}) exp(i o_m x_{ek})}{\hbar v_{m}} ,$$

where \( v_m \) is the carrier velocity defined as \( v_m = \hbar a_m / m \), and \( o_m = (1/\hbar) \sqrt{2m_e (e-\kappa_m)} \). \( \chi_m \) are the eigenstates of the confined modes in the leads corresponding to the eigenstate with energy \( \kappa_m \). In order to incorporate the information of the exterior Green’s function into Eq. (5), we express the term \( \nabla_r G(r, r') \) in the last integral expression as.
\[ \nabla_r G(r,r') = \int_{r_{ij} \in \mathcal{D}_{ij}} G(r_{ij},r') \frac{\hbar^2}{2M(r_{ij})} \nabla_r G_{ij}(r_{ij},r) \times d \partial \Omega_{ij}, \quad r \in \Omega_{ij}. \]  

With this replacement to Eq. (5), the calculus part of the problem is complete and we are ready to formulate the problem in matrix form.

If we assume that the Green’s function can be expressed in terms of the FEM basis as follows:

\[ G(r,r') = \sum_{ij} \alpha_i(r) \alpha_j(r') G_{ij}, \]  

then Eq. (5) can be formulated into a compact matrix equation by multiplying both sides by \( \alpha_j(r') \) and integrating over \( r' \). The matrix equation is

\[ S = [eS - H - \Sigma]G_{\Sigma}, \]

where \( [S]_{m_0} = \int \alpha_i(r) \alpha_j(r') d

\text{r} \quad \text{is commonly known as the overlap matrix. The explicit form for computing the matrix elements of} \quad \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad H \quad \text{are given in Eq. (10),}

\[ [H]_{m_0} = \left\{ \int_{r \in \Omega_{ij}} \left[ \right] \frac{\hbar^2}{2} M(r) \nabla r \alpha_i(r) \right\}, \]

\[ (\Sigma)_{m_0} = \left\{ \int_{r \in \mathcal{D}_{ij}} \left[ \right] \frac{\hbar^2}{2} M(r) \nabla r \alpha_i(r) \right\}, \]

With all these quantities known, we are ready to compute the device observables through the Green’s function,

\[ G(e) = [eS - H - \Sigma]^{-1}. \]

The device’s local density of states is computed through the spectral function defined as

\[ A(e) = G(e) \left[ \Gamma_L(e) + \Gamma_R(e) \right] G(e), \]

where \( \Gamma = i[\Sigma - \Sigma^+] \) are the broadening functions to be computed individually for each lead.\(^{14}\) The diagonal elements of \( A(e) \) yield the local density of states. Finally, the transmission is computed by taking the trace of the transmission function \( \Phi \) defined to be\(^{14}\)

\[ \Phi(e) = \Gamma_L(e) G(e) \Gamma_R(e) G(e)^\dagger. \]

To facilitate our subsequent analysis, we unclustered the total transmission \( \Phi \) and examine only the transmission characteristics between mode \( m = 1, 2 \) of left lead to mode \( n = 1, 2 \) of right lead. This mode-to-mode transmission \( (\Phi_{mn}) \) is easily accomplished in our numerical scheme by noting that the lead self-energy can be unfolded into their respective modes.

III. GENERAL FEATURES IN ENERGY-RESOLVED TRANSMISSION

The objective of this section is to perform a systematic analysis of the transmission characteristics of electronic
transport through a 2D quantum channel with correlated and anticorrelated surfaces. As a model system, we adopted a set of parameter values typical of “end-of-road-map” devices,\textsuperscript{30} i.e., a $L_G=10$ nm 2D channel with an average quantum film thickness of $T_B=2$ nm. For each class of devices, the effects of material properties and the degree of roughness on the energy-resolved transmission characteristics were examined. The material band structure was parametrized by a set of effective masses, i.e., transport mass $m_x$ and quantization mass $m_z$. Although microscopic details of the atomic structure of interfaces\textsuperscript{31} and related elemental defects (i.e., Si-Si and Si-O-Si bonds)\textsuperscript{21} could be a source of interface scattering, it is not the main focus of this work. Ignoring these interfacial elemental defects, we study the geometrical effect of surface roughness on the transport characteristics.

A. Transmission through anticorrelated surfaces

Figure 3 shows the energy-resolved transmission characteristics $\Phi_{mn}$ (first mode to first mode transmission) for devices with anticorrelated surfaces. Three different sets of roughness parameters were simulated: [Fig. 3(a)] $A_0=0.5$ nm and $\lambda=2.5$ nm, [Fig. 3(b)] $A_0=0.5$ nm and $\lambda=3.3$ nm, and [Fig. 3(c)] $A_0=0.5$ nm and $\lambda=5.0$ nm. For each set of roughness parameters, the following sets of material parameters were simulated: (dashed-dotted lines) $m_z=0.9m_0$ with $m_x=0.2m_0$ and (solid lines) $m_z=0.9m_0$ with $m_x=0.1m_0$.

Several general observations can be made about the energy-resolved transmission spectra: (i) there are regions of pseudogaps and pseudobands where transmittivity is relatively opaque and transparent, respectively; (ii) within the pseudobands, there are camel-back structures which increase in number with increasing roughness frequency (i.e., decreasing $\lambda$); and (iii) a delayed “turn on” of transmission called “enhanced threshold,” which increases with increasing roughness frequency. The enhanced threshold energy is a geometrically derived property due to 2D quantization effects of the roughened morphology. The enhanced threshold is zero for an unroughened quantum well channel. Note that the energy scale is referenced from the subband energy of the first mode in the lead previously defined as $k_1$. In addition, due to the symmetry of the problem, $\Phi_{nn} \neq 0$ if and only if both $m$ and $n$ are odd/even numbers.

Due to the anticorrelated surface morphology, the thickness of the 2D quantum film fluctuates from the source to drain contacts. Henceforth, we can visualize the electron as moving across the channel through an undulating energy landscape caused by the variable film thickness. This accounts for the appearance of camel-back structures in the pseudoband region of the energy-resolved transmission as depicted in Fig. 3, i.e., a signature of resonant tunneling. The undulating energy landscape $\{\epsilon_{QW}(x)\}$ can be modeled by a series of quantum wells ($E_{QW}$) as follows:

$$
\epsilon_{QW}(x) = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2m_x(T_B + 2A_0 \cos(2\pi x/\lambda))^2} \sum_j E_{QW}(x + j\lambda).
$$

(15)

$E_{QW}$ can be expanded as a Taylor series to give the following leading-order terms:

$$
E_{QW}(x) \approx \left[ \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2m_x(T_B + 2A_0)^2} + \frac{4\hbar^2 \pi^4 A_0^2}{m_z(T_B + 2A_0)^3\lambda^2} \right] U(x),
$$

(16)

where $U(x)$ is a unit pulse at $-\lambda/2 < x < \lambda/2$. The second term in Eq. (16) with the kinetic operator will yield the quantized energy levels of a harmonic oscillator with energies $\xi_n = \hbar\omega(n+0.5)$ where

$$
\omega = \sqrt{\frac{8\hbar^2 \pi^4 A_0}{\lambda^2 m_z m_x(T_B + 2A_0)^3}}.
$$

(17)

From the above expression, we have $\omega \propto m_z^{-0.5}$. Indeed, Fig. 3 reveals that a smaller $m_z$ will yield a wider energy separation between the peaks of the camel-back structure. This also translates to a larger pseudoband bandwidth (BW). For the structure illustrated in Fig. 3, the estimated first quantized energy using Eq. (17) is $\xi_1=0.1357, 0.1019$, and $0.0679$ eV for $\lambda=2.5, 3.3,$ and $5$ nm, respectively. These estimates are in good agreement with threshold energies computed in Fig. 3, which is the energy needed for the first appearance of a transmission resonance.

To explain the global features of pseudobands and pseudogaps in the energy-resolved transmission results, we shall first review the pertinent results from the Kronig-Penny model.\textsuperscript{31} Consider a periodically varying rectangular energy barrier as depicted in the inset of Fig. 4 with barrier energy of $U_b$ and a spatial period of $\lambda$. The band structure (energy $\xi$ versus momentum $k$ dispersion relation) of this onedimensional (1D) periodic potential can be described by the following transcendental equation:
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\[ \kappa = \pm \frac{1}{\lambda} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{4 - \alpha^2}}{\alpha} \right), \] 

where \( \alpha \) is given by

\[ \alpha = 2 \cosh \left( \frac{k_1 \lambda}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{k_1 \lambda}{2} \right) - \frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{k_1 k_2} \sinh \left( \frac{k_1 \lambda}{2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{k_1 \lambda}{2} \right), \]

when \( 0 < \xi < U_b \) and

\[ \alpha = 2 \cosh \left( \frac{k_1 \lambda}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{k_1 \lambda}{2} \right) + \frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{k_1 k_2} \sinh \left( \frac{k_1 \lambda}{2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{k_1 \lambda}{2} \right), \]

when \( \xi > U_b \). In addition, we have \( \hbar k_1 = \sqrt{2 \mu_0} |\xi| \) and \( \hbar k_2 = \sqrt{2 \mu_0} (\xi - U_b) \).

Figure 4 surveys the band structure of a Kronig-Penny 1D crystal under different quantum well periods \( \lambda \) where regions with propagating states are shaded. The analysis set is conducted for transport masses of \( m_x = 0.15m_0 \) (solid) and \( m_x = 0.2m_0 \) (shaded) regions. The following observations can be made: (i) the energy bandwidth and threshold energy increase monotonically with decreasing quantum well period \( \lambda \); (ii) energy bandwidth decreases monotonically with decreasing quantum well period \( \lambda \); and (iii) a smaller transport mass \( m_x \) yields a larger energy bandwidth. Examination of the energy-resolved transmission in Fig. 3 shows that the pseudoband and enhanced threshold in the quasiperiodic 2D structure with anticorrelated surface roughness exhibit a strikingly similar trend with the Kronig-Penny model analysis. Based on these arguments, we conclude that the generic features of pseudoband and pseudogap observed in the energy-resolved transmission of the 2D film with anticorrelated surfaces are results of the film’s quasiperiodicity.

**B. Transmission through perfectly correlated surfaces**

Figure 5 shows the energy-resolved transmission characteristic of a 2D channel with perfectly correlated surface roughness. The same set of devices as performed in the anticorrelated case, with various surface roughness parameters and transport mass \( m_x \), are simulated. Due to the different symmetry in this case, \( \Phi_{mn} \neq 0 \) for odd-to-even mode transition unlike the situation for anticorrelated surfaces. Therefore, we plotted the transmission characteristics \( \Phi_{11} \) and \( \Phi_{12} \) in Fig. 5, although we will mainly focus on \( \Phi_{11} \) in the subsequent discussion.

As shown in Fig. 5, the generic features of pseudoband, pseudogap, and enhanced threshold induced by the quasiperiodicity are also present in structures with perfectly correlated surfaces. For each particular set of surface roughness parameters, the channel with perfectly correlated surfaces exhibits a distinctively larger pseudoband than its anticorrelated counterpart. Since there is no variation of quantum well thickness along the channel, the scattering in this case is purely a result of 2D geometrical and side-wall boundary effects. As a result, one would expect the carrier to feel a less undulating energy landscape in the perfectly correlated case. Effectively, this translates to a smaller \( U_b \) in the Kronig-Penny picture, which will then correspondingly yield a larger pseudoband’s bandwidth.

Figures 6 and 7 are intensity plots for the local density of states \( G(\epsilon) \Gamma^\dagger_{mn}(\epsilon)G(\epsilon) \) due to injection of carriers from the source contact for the anticorrelated and correlated cases, respectively. Brighter regions indicate higher density of states. The carriers are injected from the first mode eigenstates of the source lead. The surface roughness morphologies are both characterized by \( \Delta_0 = 0.5 \) nm and \( \lambda = 2.5 \) nm. The energy-resolved transmission spectra are plotted on their left. The local density of states at each of the resonance
energies reveals localized high intensity patterns, which has its origin in interference effects due to multiple scattering of waves. One observes that the number of localized spots increases with the index of the resonance level. In the energy-resolved transmission, twice the number of resonance energy levels in the pseudoband is observed in the perfectly correlated case as compared to anticorrelated case. This is attributed to the reduced symmetry of the channel with a perfectly correlated surface morphology, which lacks the x-axis mirror symmetry of the anticorrelated surface. The degradation of the energy-resolved transmission at higher energy is due to the appearance of the second mode at 0.3 eV, which opens up a transmission through $\Phi_{12}$. Therefore the resonance peak has a maximum transmission less than one.

IV. EFFECTIVE MASSES ON TRANSMITTIVITY AND THRESHOLD ENERGY

In this section, we study the impact of transport and quantization masses and surface roughness morphologies on the general characteristics of the energy-resolved transmission through a decananometer channel. Our analysis will be confined to the study of the first mode to first mode transmission $\Phi_{11}$. We begin by proposing a reasonable metric for the measure of the transmittivity $K$ of a channel,

$$K = \frac{1}{\text{BW}} \int_{\text{BW}} \Phi(e_{xz})d\epsilon_{xz},$$

where the pseudoband BW is defined as the energy difference between the last and first resonance peaks in the pseudoband. Enhanced threshold energy is defined as the energy for the appearance of the first resonance peak with respect to the lead’s first subband energy, i.e., $\hbar^2\pi^2/(2m_xT_B^2)$. This phenomenon has been observed experimentally and the suppression of its effect is pertinent to electronic device applications. Figure 8(c) provides an illustration of the concept of BW and enhanced threshold energy.

Figures 8(a), 8(b), 9(a), and 9(b) survey the BW and $K$ for a decananometer channel of $(T_B)=2$ nm with anticorrelated and perfectly correlated surface roughness morphologies, respectively. Different sets of material parameters, i.e., $m_{xz}$, are employed in the study. The key results can be summarized as follows: (i) a smaller $m_c$ improves the BW and ef-

FIG. 6. (Color online) Intensity plot of local density of states due to the first mode of left contact, i.e., $G^T$,$L$, each at different injection energies (measured with respect to the lead’s first quantized mode) as indicated. Device structure used are $L_G=10$ nm and $T_B=2$ nm channels with anticorrelated surfaces with roughness amplitude $A_0=0.5$ nm and wavelength $\lambda=2.5$ nm. A transport mass of $m_t=0.2m_0$ and quantization mass of $m_c=0.9m_0$ are used. The corresponding energy-resolved transmission characteristics are plotted on the left.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Similar to Fig. 6 except for a channel with perfectly correlated surfaces.

FIG. 8. (Color online) Surveying of pseudoband’s bandwidth of the energy-resolved transmission for $\Phi_{11}$ [see Fig. 8(a)] and the transmittivity [see Fig. 8(b)] for various transport masses ($m_t=0.1m_0$ and 0.2$m_0$ denoted by red and black lines, respectively) and quantization masses ($m_c=0.3m_0$, 0.5$m_0$, and 0.9$m_0$ denoted by dash-dotted, dashed, and solid lines, respectively) plotted as functions of roughness amplitude $A_0$. Simulated for a device with $L_G=10$ nm and an average $T_B=2$ nm channel with anticorrelated surface roughness morphology ($\lambda=3.3$ nm). (c) Depicts the energy-resolved transmission for similar devices but with $L_G=20$ nm (black) and 40 nm (red) with various $A_0=0.35$ nm and 0.50 nm using effective masses of $m_c=0.2m_0$ and $m_t=0.9m_0$. 
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Transport mass of \( m_x \) is used for all curves. The red curves in (b) denote that higher modes transitions, i.e., \( \Phi_{12} \) and \( \Phi_{22} \), are present within the pseudobands of these structures.

effective transmittivity \( (K) \) for channels with anticorrelated and perfectly correlated surface roughness morphology and (ii) a larger \( m_x \) improves the transmittivity for channels with anticorrelated surface roughness but slightly degrades the transmittivity for channels with perfectly correlated surface roughness. The observation (i) is due to the increase in resonance linewidth as derived from the higher tunneling probability due to a smaller \( m_x \).

In the diffusive regime, the surface roughness limited mobility for a quantum well scales proportionally with \( = m_x^2 \Delta^{-2} \), where \( \Delta \) is the root-mean-square averaged fluctuation of the quantum well thickness. In the channel with anticorrelated surfaces, \( \Delta = \sqrt{2A_0} \). As depicted in Fig. 8(b), \( K \) increases with increasing \( m_x \). For the channel with perfectly correlated surface morphology, \( \Delta \) is zero. Thus, the main source of scattering mechanism in the “classical” sense is attributed to a local fluctuation of wave function. In general, a larger \( m_x \) “propagates” the electron closer to the surfaces and renders it more sensitive to the surface roughness morphology. This explains the small degradation of \( K \) with increasing \( m_x \). However, we must emphasize that the larger degradation of \( K \) [red curves in Fig. 9(b)] for \( m_x = 0.7m_0 \), \( 0.9m_0 \) is due to the appearance of the second mode leading to a degradation of \( \Phi_{11} \) while \( \Phi_{12} \) begins to increase.

With a larger transmission bandwidth and relatively weak dependence of transmittivity on \( m_x \), channel with highly correlated surfaces is more optimal for electronic transport in the phase-coherent regime. Especially for a channel with small quantization mass, i.e., III–V semiconductor alloys, the latter property is highly desirable for ballistic transport. Although these studies are conducted for a decanometer channel, we expect the results to be consistent for longer channel. To confirm this proposition, we considered the energy-resolved transmission for devices with \( L_D = 20 \) and 40 nm in Fig. 8(c). The increase in channel length results in more resonance peaks (i.e., the number of peaks within the pseudoband is proportional to the number of sinusoidal cycles in the roughness morphology of the channel) but with the global features of enhanced threshold and the pseudoband’s bandwidth intact. In particular, its energy-resolved transmission exhibits similar enveloping characteristics for different \( L_D \). The envelope is characteristic of a given \( A_0 \).

It has been reported that surface roughness will induce an additional threshold energy in quantum well devices and this effect had been systematically measured in experiments, i.e., enhanced threshold energy. Therefore, the observed threshold energy will be greater than that described by the more commonly understood body quantization effect according to \( h^2\pi^2/(2m_F T_B) \). Figure 10 compares the theoretically calculated threshold energy of a roughened channel (i.e., perfectly correlated and anticorrelated surfaces morphology) with the available experimental data for Si(110) and Si(100) quantum well devices. Assuming a roughness amplitude of \( A_0 = 0.5 \) and 0.6 nm for the Si(110) and Si(100) devices, respectively, we are able to match the experimental data for Si(110) and Si(100) devices, respectively, where a roughness wavelength of \( \lambda = 2.5 \) nm was assumed for both cases. The threshold energy for a channel with unroughened (solid lines), anticorrelated (dashed lines), and perfectly correlated (dotted lines) surfaces are plotted.

FIG. 10. (Color online) Theoretically calculated threshold energy as a function of the averaged 2D quantum film’s thickness compared with the experimental data for Si(110) (Ref. 9) and Si(100) (Ref. 7) devices. For our calculations, a roughness amplitude of \( A_0 = 0.5 \) and 0.6 nm is able to describe the experimental data for Si(110) and Si(100) devices, respectively, where a roughness wavelength of \( \lambda = 2.5 \) nm was assumed for both cases. The threshold energy for a channel with unroughened (solid lines), anticorrelated (dashed lines), and perfectly correlated (dotted lines) surfaces are plotted.

FIG. 9. (Color online) Effects of quantization mass \( (m_x) \) on the pseudoband’s bandwidth of the energy-resolved transmission for \( \Phi_{11} \) (a) and the transmittivity (b) through a channel with perfectly correlated surface roughness morphology plotted as a function of \( A_0 \). The device has \( L_D = 10 \) nm and an average \( T_B = 2 \) nm channel. Transport mass of \( m_x = 0.2m_0 \) is used for all curves. The red curves denote that higher modes transitions, i.e., \( \Phi_{12} \) and \( \Phi_{22} \), are present within the pseudobands of these structures.
quantization mass of 0.33m_0 is obtained for Si(110) devices.

The enhanced threshold energy is a geometrically derived property of 2D quantization effects. The 2D geometry of the roughened channel introduces additional lateral confinement which serves to enhance overall threshold energy. Figures 6 and 7 illustrates this lateral confinement effect in channels with perfectly and anticorrelated surfaces. Note that these channels are constructed such that they retain the same volume as the unroughened channel. Equation (17) describes the approximate enhanced threshold energy due to surface roughness for the case of channels with anticorrelated surface morphology. Equation (17) tells us that the enhanced threshold energy is related to the material parameters according to (m_m)^{1/2}. Furthermore, contrary to the T_B dependency in the usual case of body quantization, the enhanced threshold energy exhibits a T_B^{3/2} dependency as shown in Eq. (17). From the viewpoint of device performances, this translates to an additional threshold voltage shift. A small device threshold voltage shift is an important criterion to suppress the on-chip device-to-device electrical properties variations.34

V. CONCLUSION

The study of the impact of surface roughness on electronic transport usually focused on the dissipative regime with transport dynamics well governed by the classical Boltzmann’s transport equation. Electronic transport through a channel with roughened surfaces in the phase-coherent regime is less understood. Using the Keldysh nonequilibrium Green’s-function approach within a FEM-BEM numerical scheme, we performed a systematic study of quantum transport through a decananomater length quantum well channel with perfectly correlated and anticorrelated surfaces. Due to the pseudoperiodicity in these simulated structures, their energy-resolved transmission possesses pseudobands, pseudogaps, and an enhanced threshold energy. Channels with perfectly correlated surfaces exhibit wider pseudobands than their anticorrelated counterparts. Perfectly correlated channels also permit odd-to-even mode transition, which is not allowable in channel with anticorrelated surfaces.

An effective transmittivity in these structures is derived by computing the average transmission over the range of energy within the pseudoband. By surveying channels with various material parameters combinations (i.e., m_ and m_), we found that a smaller transport mass m_ is beneficial for the transmittivity of the channel and serves to increase the energy bandwidth of the pseudoband. The observation of the contrasting trends in the dependence of transmittivity on m_ for anticorrelated and perfectly correlated surfaces is interesting. A quantum well with perfectly correlated surface is more optimal for channel material with smaller m_. Technically speaking, a sufficiently correlated surface can be engineered via techniques like atomic layer deposition. Recall that the “classical” perturbing Hamiltonian due to surface roughness can be attributed to a local energy-level fluctuation and a local fluctuation of charge density.5 On a general note, one could then say that channels with anticorrelated surfaces emphasize the former scattering mechanism, while the perfectly correlated surfaces emphasize the latter mechanism.

Lastly, we studied the phenomenon of enhanced threshold voltage shifts. Excellent corroboration with the experimental data was obtained. Enhanced threshold voltage shifts exhibit a T_B^{3/2} dependency, and its contribution to the total threshold energy is significant in the small T_B regime. Therefore, suppression of the device-to-device threshold voltage variations in quantum well channels with small quantization mass will present considerable challenge for the semiconductor device industry.30
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